Применение сеточно-характеристического метода для решения задач распространения динамических волновых возмущений на высокопроизводительных вычислительных системах

> Петров Игорь Борисович, член-корр. РАН, д.ф.-м.н, МФТИ Хохлов Николай Игоревич, к.ф.-м.н, МФТИ

Открытая конференция ИСП РАН им. В.П. Иванникова Москва, 5-6 декабря 2019 г.



Numerical Methods and Models

- Media models:
 - Linear elasticity, viscoelastic
 - Linear acoustic
- Numerical methods:
 - Grid-characteristic
 - Galerkin
 - FDTD
 - TVD, WENO finite-volumes
- Features:
 - Explicit cracks setting
 - Explicit layers borders setting
 - High-order numerical schemes, monotonicity
 - HPCs oriented



Mathematical model

Relation between velocity and deformation

$$\begin{cases} \rho \dot{\vec{v}} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{T} & \text{Motion equation} \\ \dot{\mathbf{T}} = \lambda (\nabla \cdot \vec{v}) \mathbf{I} + \mu (\nabla \otimes \vec{v} + \vec{v} \otimes \nabla) & \text{Hooke's law} \end{cases}$$

 ρ – density λ , μ – Lame elastic parameters \vec{v} – velocity

T – stress tensor



Grid-characteristic method





Research Software

Structural mesh

- Grid-characteristic, finite-volume, FDTD methods 1-4 order of accuracy
- Block structural meshes, curved meshes
- Large mesh sizes (over 1 billion nodes)
- Parallelized to MPI / OpenMP / CUDA / OpenCL
- Non-structural grids
 - The discontinuous Galerkin method of 1-8 order of accuracy
 - Adaptive Triangular and Tetrahedral Mesh
 - Settlement grids more than 100 million nodes
 - Parallelized to MPI / OpenMP

• Features:

- VTK-based visualization (ParaView, Vislt, Mayavi)
- Seismogram format segy
- Elastic / acoustic media, explicit separation of contacts and heterogeneities





Research Software Features

- Linux console intensive usage
- MPI and OpenMP technologies utilization, remote access to hardware
- No external dependencies, pure C++
- Oriented on C++ 98 (currently porting to C++11) to eliminate compile problems on old hardware



GPU parallelization

• CPU

- Compilers: icc
- Compiler Options :
 - -mavx
 - -fopenmp (auto vectorization)
 - -02
- GPU
 - Compilers: nvcc, gcc
 - Compiler Options:
 - -02
 - -use_fast_math



CPU properties: Intel Xeon E5-2697 2.7 GHz

GPU properties:

GPU	CUDA cores (streaming processors)	Clock rate, MHz	GFLOPS - single precision	SP:DP	GFLOPS - double precision
GeForce GT 640	384	900	691	24	29
GeForce GTX 480	480	1401	1345	8	168
GeForce GTX 680	1536	1006	3090	24	129
GeForce GTX 760	1152	980	2258	24	94
GeForce GTX 780	2304	863	3977	24	166
GeForce GTX 780 Ti	2880	876	5046	24	210
GeForce GTX 980	2048	1126	4612	32	144
Tesla M2070	448	1150	1030	2	515
Tesla K40m	2880	745	4291	3	1430
Tesla K80	2496	562	2806	1.5	1870
Radeon HD 7950	1792	800	2867	4	717
Radeon R9 290	2560	947	4849	8	606

Test program

- Grid size: 4096x4096
- Time steps: 6500
- Data type: float, double
- Grid node: 5 float (double)
- Occupied memory:
 - 320 MB (float)
 - 640 MB (double)



CPU version

- Single-precision and double-precision
- 190 FLOPS to recalculate one node in grid
- Program consumes 18.8 TFLOPS
- Single-thread, single CPU core
- AVX instructions vectorization



Speedup of GPU implementation compared to CPU



compare with cpu Intel Xeon E5-2697 - float + fast math



Speedup of GPU implementation compared to CPU

Radeon R9 290 Radeon HD 7950 Tesla K80 Tesla K40m Tesla M2070 GeForce GTX 980 opencl GeForce GTX 780 Ti cuda GeForce GTX 780 GeForce GTX 760 GeForce GTX 680 GeForce GTX 480 GeForce GT 640 10 15 25 30 50 5 20 40 45 0 35 Speedup

compare with cpu Intel Xeon E5-2697 - double



Percentage of peak performance



Percentage of peak performance - float + fast math



Percentage of peak performance



Percentage of peak performance - double



Performance

Performance - float + fast math





Performance

Radeon R9 290 Radeon HD 7950 Tesla K80 Tesla K40m Tesla M2070 GeForce GTX 980 opencl GeForce GTX 780 Ti cuda GeForce GTX 780 GeForce GTX 760 GeForce GTX 680 GeForce GTX 480 GeForce GT 640 20 40 60 80 100 120 160 140 0 GFLOPS





GPU parallelization

- Multiple GPUs
- Divide grid along axis Y
- Data exchanges between GPUs by adjacent grid nodes
- GPUDirect (only in CUDA) exchange data by PCI Express bypassing CPU



Speedup (number of GPUs)



МФТИ

GPUDirect (except Radeon R9 290)

GPUDirect, float





Speedup (number of GPUs)

Speedup, double Radeon R9 290 GeForce GTX 980 Tesla K80 GeForce GTX 680 Tesla M2070 GeForce GTX 780 Ti Tesla K40m



GPUDirect (except Radeon R9 290)

GPUDirect, double





Conclusion

- Speedup (single GPU compared with CPU):
 - Single-precision up to 55 times (GeForce GTX 780 Ti)
 - Double-precision up to 44 times (Tesla K80)
- Performance (single GPU):
 - Single-precision up to 460 GFLOPS (GeForce GTX 780 Ti)
 - Double-precision up to 138 GFLOPS (Tesla K80)
- Speedup (multiple GPU compared with single GPU):
 - Single-precision up to 6.1 times (Tesla K40m)
 - Double-precision up to 7.1 times (GeForce GTX 780 Ti)
- Increase in speedup with GPUDirect
 - Single-precision 10% on 8 GeForce GTX 780 Ti
 - Double-precision 2.4% on 8 GeForce GTX 780 Ti



MPI: cluster HECToR

- ~90 000 cores
- 1 GB memory per core
- 32 cores per node
- Test on a grid 1000x1000x1000 1 billion nodes
- □ The number of cores from 128 to 16 thousand
- □ The number of threads per node 16 and 32
- Measurement only of the general operating time of the program
- □ Acceleration 100 and 90 times
- □ Efficiency 78 and 70%



MPI: strong scalability





MPI: efficiency





Weak scalability

- The number of grid nodes per core 16 million
- Maximum grid size 62 billion nodes
- Good performance
- Difference in calculations for 16 and 32 threads per node
- Acceleration 0.8 and 0.55 respectively



Weak scalability



OpenMP parallelization

- The highest performance of the serial version is 22% of the peak for float and 17% for double with optimization and using avx instructions
- Acceleration on AMD Opteron 6272 37 times on 64 cores
- Acceleration on AMD Opteron 8431 25 times on 48 cores
- Acceleration on Intel Xeon E5-2697 17 times on 24 cores



Implementation complexity



Task size



Examples of Meshes





Seismic modeling



Geological Cracks Simulation

- Explicit setting of medium internal structure
- Crack-crack interaction is taken into account explicilty



A set of publications by Petrov, Leviant, etc.



Fracture model



J

Example problem



Geological model of anticlinal trap



The calculation result is the wave field at time moment t = 0.38 s



35

Curvilinear Borders











Subsurface Explosion





Explicit Curvilinear Borders Benefits



Based on the real data



3D Wave Field





Seismograms



	mpnon	Explicit	
Time (2 000 cores), s	815	7415	
RAM, Gb	21,7	47,5	
Amplitude 1		22 %	
Amplitude 2		26 %	
Amplitude 3		30 %	

Difference up to 30 %!



Geological model «Marmousi2»



Geological model













Geological model «Marmousi2»



СЕЙСМОГРАММЫ: ПЛОСКАЯ ВОЛНА (СВЕРХУ) И ТОЧЕЧНЫЙ ИСТОЧНИК (СНИЗУ)



«SEG C3 NA»



Были построены следующие 3D модели:

- 1. однородное полупространство,
- 2. трёхслойная горизонтально-слоистая среда,
 - 3. включение с вертикальными одинаково ориентированными трещинами,
 - 4. включение с наклонными (30°) одинаково ориентированными трещинами,
 - 5. включение с вертикальными хаотично ориентированными трещинами:
 - А. азимут от -30 до +30 градусов,
 - В. азимут от -180 до +180 градусов.



Моделирование трещиноватых сред

Примеры структуры трещиноватой среды | | | | | |

Характеристики моделей:

- параллелепипед 10 x 10 x 3,9 км;
- плотность среды 2500 кг/м³;
- ★ толщина 1 слоя 550 м, С_Р 4500 м/с, С_S – 2250 м/с;
- ★ толщина 2 слоя 200 м, С_Р 6000 м/с, С_S – 3000 м/с;
- толщина 3 слоя 3150 м, С_Р 4500 м/с, С_S – 2250 м/с;
- глубина залегания трещин 600 м;
- горизонтальная протяжённость кластера 2,4 км;
- длина трещины 100 м;
- расстояние между трещинами 50-150 м.

Методика и анализ данных (V_z)



Результаты анализа



Результаты анализа



ГЕОМЕТРИЯ ТРЕЩИН:

- ВЕРТИКАЛЬНЫЕ
- 30° ОТ ВЕРТИКАЛИ
- РАЗБРОС ПО АЗИМУТУ 360°
- * РАЗБРОС ПО АЗИМУТУ 60°



Earthquake modeling

Earthquake resistance

- •Earthquake focus modeling
- •Wave propagation through geological media
- •Evaluation of earthquake resistance



Problem's Significance

1. **Consequences of earthquakes** – thousands of deaths, billions \$

of damage to property

2. Available software instruments and technologies are **insufficient**



Japan





Time



Results: Hypocenter Modeling

Mechanical model «Fault slip»

Analytical VS numerical solution



Analytical solution is available at

http://www1.gly.bris.ac.uk/~george/focmec.html























Results: dome construction





Results: dome construction





Results: dome construction





Results: Ground Facility



Absolute velocity (left) and destruction zones (right) in red based on «sand» model



Results: Dam





ground

Mises criteria, destruction

Scheme of numerical experiment and places of destruction























Seismic resistance of multi-storey buildings



Different depth of the hypocenter of the earthquake



Results: 3D dome constructions







Conclusions

- possibility to calculate large spatial problems
- various models of destruction
- complex three-dimensional objects from block curvilinear grids



Thanks a lot! Questions?

